# BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Rec'd PCT/PTO

11 JAN 2

### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

### 08 JUN 2004

From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

To:

LINDENROTH, Margareta ASTRAZENECA Global Intellectual Property Mereside, Alderley Park Macclesfield Cheshire SK10 4TG GRANDE BRETAGNE

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT** 

(PCT Rule 71.1)

Date of mailing (day month year)

01/06/2004

Applicant's or agent's file reference

100755-1 WO

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

International application No.

International filing date (day/month/year)

Priority date (day/month/year)

PCT/GB03/02982

09/07/2003

13/07/2002

**Applicant** 

ASTRAZENECA AB et al.

- The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application. 1.
- A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices. 2.
- Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but 3. not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

### REMINDER 4.

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices)(Article 39(1))(see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's

The applicant's attention is drawn to Article 33(5), which provides that the criteria of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability described in Article 33(2) to (4) merely serve the purposes of international preliminary examination and that "any Contracting State may apply additional or different criteria for the purposes of deciding whether, in that State, the claimed inventions is patentable or not" (see also Article 27(5)). Such additional criteria may relate, for example, to exemptions from patentability, requirements for enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the claims.

Name and mailing address of the IPEA;

Furopean Patent Office D-80298 Munich

Tel. (+49-89) 2399-0, Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: (+49-89) 2399-4465

Authorized officer

KEMLE S Y G

Tel. (+49-89) 2399 2828

sisches Patentam . Office auropage.

## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

## **PCT**

	0 3 JUN 2004
WIPO	PCT

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

(Rationalised Report according to the Notice of the President of the EPO published in the OJ11/2001)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	SOD WINGWED A CEION	See Notification	on of Transmittal of International		
100755-1 WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION				
International application No.	International filing date (da	y month year)	Priority date (day month year)		
PCT/GB03/02982	09/07/2003		13/07/2002		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC					
A61K31/445					
Applicant					
ASTRAZENECA AB et al.					
This international preliminary exa     Authority and is transmitted to the	mination report has been prep e applicant according to Articl	ared by this Intern e 36.	ational Preliminary Examining		
2. This REPORT consists of a total of sheets, including this cover sheet.					
This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).					
These annexes consists of a total of sheets.					
3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:					
I X Basis of the report					
II Priority					
III $X$ Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
IV Lack of unity of invention					
V X Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
VI Certain documents cited					
. VII Certain defects in the international application					
VIII Certain observations on the international application					
		o_			
Date of submission of the demand		ate of completion	of this report		
Due of adolingsion of the deligate	-				
20/01/2004		26/05/	absches Patentam.		
Name and willing address of the IDDA I		uthorized officer	2004 windsches Patentamr.		
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/		ELLIE B R	st		
European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. (+49-89) 2399-0, Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: (+49-89) 2399-4465  GELLIE B R  Tel. (+49-89) 2399 2828					
Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet) P20476 (October 2002)					
LOTH LCT/ILEWI40A (COAST SHEET) 1 70414 (COAST SHEET)					

### I. Basis of the report

The basis of this international preliminary examination is the application as originally filed.

III. Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

The question of whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step, or to be industrially applicable has not been the subject of the international preliminary examination in respect of the claims which have not been searched (Article 17(2)(a) or (3) and Rule 66.1(e) PCT); see also international search report).

V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability

To the extent that the international preliminary examination has been carried out (see item III above), the following is pointed out:

In light of the documents cited in the international search report, it is considered that the invention as defined in at least some of the claims, which have been the subject of an international search report, does not appear to meet the criteria mentioned in Article 33(1) PCT, i.e. does not appear to be novel and/or to involve an inventive step (see international search report, in particular the documents cited X and/or Y and corresponding claim references).